

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/085,056	MARUYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Juliet C. Switzer	1634	

All Participants:

(1) Juliet C. Switzer.

(2) Thomas Cunningham.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11/4/2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *paper copies of Figures 3 and 4 were given to the examiner.*

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Cunningham provided the examiner with paper copies of figures 3 and 4 for the examiner's consideration of the results contained in the figures because the figures did not scan well into the electronic file.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)